

Building Quality into Foundation of Health System: Gaps and Roles of Each Actor

Dr. James Tumaini Kengia
For Director, Health Services- PORALG

Outline

- Background
- Existing gaps in quality of HIV services
 - National level
 - Implementation level
 - Research
- Opportunities and roles of various stakeholders

Background

- High quality health system for HIV services can only be achieved through the use of evidence based QI activities.
- This requires contribution from policy makers, implementers, researchers and users
- Potential next steps to address issues raised during this symposium can be divided into:
 - Gaps at national level
 - Implementation challenges
 - Research gaps

Gaps at national level

- Coordination and leadership for institutionalization of QI culture?? Structures-TWGs.....
- Insufficient fund to support QI initiatives at all levels of health system
- Accountability for quality of care at all levels
- Reporting system for quality of care and QI activities

Roles at national level

- Strengthen national leadership and coordination for integration of QI
- Facilitate institutionalization of QI initiatives at all levels of health system
- Finalize development of comprehensive harmonized QI indicators
- Mobilize resource for QI

Gaps at Implementation level

- Lack of institutionalization of mechanism for integration of QI plans at regional and district levels-
Common framework
- Sometimes QI activities not streamlined into routine plans both at LGA's and health facilities
- Low capacity of providers to develop and implement QI activities
- No timely and routine data to inform planning and progress for QI activities

Roles of Implementers

- Support development of mechanism for integration of regional and district QI plans-Common framework
- Integrate QI agenda in quarterly supportive supervision activities
- Train technical members of RHMT,CHMT and health facilities using nation QI tools and manuals
- Collect and use data to analyze and evaluate QI initiatives-Institutionalization of Data use culture

Star rating assessment
Annual



General supportive supervision
Quarterly



Technical supportive supervisions and mentorship



Generate gaps

Track progress
Re-prioritise
Add new gaps

Track progress
Re-prioritise
Add new gaps

Facility QI Action and Mentorship Plan

QI gap	QI action	Priority level	Responsibility (can be facility, council, national)	Resource requirements	When identified (during which assessment or supervision)	Target timeframe	Implementation status	Remarks



Annual Health Facility Plan and CCHP

Research gaps

- Fewer studies on HIV/AIDS QI interventions-Evolved from the implementers
- Insufficient funds to support research activities for QI in the country
- Inadequate capacity to conduct research at implementation level
- Weak integration of quality measurements into existing surveys and evaluations projects
- No evidence on effective measures of quality of HIV care
- Inadequate capacity to use available data as well as dissemination of QI best practices

Roles of researchers

- Integrate QI components in HIV/AIDS research agenda
- Engagement of implementers in generation of themes
- Mobilize funds to support QI studies
- Promote integration of QI measures in existing national survey and evaluation
- Develop and evaluate efficient QI measures
- Design data use and dissemination plan for QI activities

Thank you !